

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID DUANE PARKER,

Petitioner,

2:02-cv-1470-GEB-JFM-P

vs.

D. RUNNELS, et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

_____/

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

On August 22, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. On September 13, 2005, petitioner was granted an additional

1 thirty days in which to file objections. Petitioner has filed
2 objections to the findings and recommendations.

3 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.
4 § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304, this court has conducted a
5 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
6 entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to
7 be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

9 1. The findings and recommendations filed August 22,
10 2005, are adopted in full; and

11 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas
12 corpus is denied.

13 Dated: October 24, 2005

14
15 /s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr.
16 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
17 United States District Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26